## Self-Management Intervention for Adult Cancer Survivors After Treatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Soo Hyun Kim, RN, PhD, OCN®, Kisook Kim, RN, PhD, and Deborah K. Mayer, RN, PhD, AOCN®, FAAN

S. Kim is an associate professor in the Department of Nursing at Inha University in Incheon, South Korea; K. Kim is an associate professor in the College of Nursing at Chung-Ang University in Seoul, South Korea; and Mayer is a professor in the School of Nursing at the University of North Carolina and director of cancer survivorship at the University of North Carolina Lineberger Cancer Center in Chapel Hill.

This research was funded by a Basic Science Research Program grant (2016R1D-1A1B04932171) from the National Research Foundation of Korea through funding from the Ministry of Education.

S. Kim and K. Kim completed the data collection and provided statistical support. K. Kim and Mayer provided the analysis. All authors contributed to the conceptualization and design and the manuscript preparation.

K. Kim can be reached at kiskim@cau.ac.kr, with copy to editor at ONFEditor@ons.org.

Submitted February 2017. Accepted for publication April 24, 2017.

Keywords: cancer survivors; meta-analysis; self-management; systematic review

ONF, 44(6), 719-728.

doi: 10.1188/17.0NF.719-728

**Problem Identification:** This study aims to evaluate the effects of self-management interventions (SMIs) for cancer survivors who completed primary treatment.

Literature Search: Using PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL®, PsycINFO®, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trails (CENTRAL), the authors conducted a systematic search of randomized, controlled trials published in English from database conception through June 2016.

**Data Evaluation:** The meta-analysis was conducted with Cochrane Review Manager, version 5.3, and R program, version 3.3.1.

Synthesis: 12 studies were systematically reviewed for self-management content, mode of delivery, session composition, and type of self-management skills used. Then, a meta-analysis of nine randomized, controlled trials involving 2,804 participants was conducted comparing SMIs with usual care, attention control, and a waitlist group. Qualitative synthesis showed that (a) the major study population was comprised of breast cancer survivors; (b) SMIs focused on medical/behavioral and emotional management; (c) the most common mode of delivery was web-based; and (d) the most frequently evaluated outcomes were depression, self-efficacy, and health-related quality of life (HRQOL). Quantitative results demonstrated a significant medium effect on HRQOL and a large effect on fatigue of borderline significance. The effects on anxiety, depression, and self-efficacy were not statistically significant.

**Conclusions:** SMIs had a significant medium effect on HRQOL for cancer survivors post-treatment, but the findings should be interpreted with caution because of substantial heterogeneity. In addition, the small number of studies limits conclusions.

Implications for Nursing: SMI as a nursing intervention for improving HRQOL of cancer survivors can be recommended, but more research should be undertaken to determine the most effective SMI format in terms of type, mode of delivery, and session composition.

reatment completion does not signal the end of the cancer experience; many cancer survivors and their families continue to face problems associated with a complex chronic condition (Miller, 2008; Phillips & Currow, 2010). Long-term or late effects include fatigue (Kim et al., 2008; Pachman, Barton, Swetz, & Loprinzi, 2012), lymphedema (Paskett, Dean, Oliveri, & Harrop, 2012), anxiety and depression (Stanton, 2006), infertility (Ruddy & Partridge, 2012), sexual dysfunction (Bober & Varela, 2012), and cardiac complications (Lenihan & Cardinale, 2012), all of which negatively affect health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and increase medical costs (Hewitt, Greenfield, & Stovall, 2006). In addition, cancer survivors have elevated risks for additional malignancies and comorbid conditions, such as hypertension, diabetes, and osteoporosis (Rowland & Yancik, 2006; Schultz, Beck, Stava, & Vassilopoulou-Sellin, 2003; Wood et al., 2012). Therefore, long-term planning and preventive strategies are strongly recommended (Rowland & Yancik, 2006).